

Case 7:11-cv-00501-JPJ-RSB Document 4 Filed 10/31/11 Page 1 of 2 Pageid#: 6

\$300 in his inmate account, but the father never did so. Crowder asks the court “to make both [his] parents pay [him] the total amount of \$2,800.

Under 28 U.S.C.A. § 1915(e)(2) (West 2006), which governs in forma pauperis proceedings, the court has the duty to screen initial filings from litigants proceeding in forma pauperis. *Eriline Co. v. Johnson*, 440 F.3d 648, 656-57 (4th Cir. 2006). Further, “a district court must dismiss an [in forma pauperis] action that the court finds to be frivolous or malicious or that fails to state a claim.” *Michau v. Charleston Cnty., S.C.*, 434 F.3d 725, 728 (4th Cir. 2006).

Crowder’s allegations provide no factual or legal basis for an actionable civil rights claim under § 1983. His Complaint must be summarily dismissed under § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) as frivolous. A separate Final Order will be entered herewith.

ENTER: October 31, 2011

/s/ James P. Jones
United States District Judge